

Logic

# Discrete Mathematics

Number Theory

Topic 02 — Methods of Mathematical Proof

Mathematical Proofs

Lecture 02 — Proof by Contrapositive and by Cases

Dr Kieran Murphy 

Recurrence Relations

Department of Computing and Mathematics,  
Waterford IT.  
([kmurphy@wit.ie](mailto:kmurphy@wit.ie))

Set Theory

Autumn Semester, 2021

## Outline

- Proof by Contrapositive
- Proof by Cases

Enumeration

## 1. Proof by Contrapositive

2

- We prove a statement by first switching to the original statement to its contrapositive.

## 2. Proof by Cases

5

- We prove a statement by breaking it up into smaller and easier cases, which we prove separately.

# Proof by Contrapositive

## Proof by Contrapositive

In a **proof by contrapositive** argument you prove the contrapositive of the claim rather than the claim itself.

## Proof by Contrapositive (Formal Structure)

Given claim

$$P \implies Q$$

the contrapositive (and logically equivalent claim) is

$$\neg Q \implies \neg P$$

- 1 Assume  $\neg Q$ .
- 2 Demonstrate that  $\neg P$  must follow from  $\neg Q$ .

Please, please, . . . , pretty please don't confuse this with proof by contradiction (covered later).

# Example

## Example 1

If  $x^2$  is odd then  $x$  must be odd.

(by contrapositive)\*.

The contrapositive is

If  $x$  is even, then  $x^2$  is even.

We assume  $x$  is even. Hence we can write  $x = 2k$  for some integer  $k$ . Now

$$x^2 = (2k)^2 = 4k^2 = 2 \underbrace{(2k^2)}_{\substack{\text{integer} \\ \underbrace{\hspace{1.5cm}} \\ \text{even integer}}}$$

Hence the contrapositive is true, and so is the original statement. □

---

\*The above proof is certainly doable by a direct proof. However, a direct proof requires a cumbersome proof by cases approach.

# Outline

---

## 1. Proof by Contrapositive

2

- We prove a statement by first switching to the original statement to its contrapositive.

## 2. Proof by Cases

5

- We prove a statement by breaking it up into smaller and easier cases, which we prove separately.

# Proof by Cases

## Proof by Cases

In a **Proof by cases** argument you

- List of of the possible cases and analyse each separately.
- Need to ensure that the cases are exhaustive — cover all possibilities

## Proof by Cases (Formal Structure)

Given claim

$$P \implies Q$$

- 1 Show that there exist a number of distinct cases  $C_1, C_2, \dots$  such that whenever  $P$  is true then at least one of the cases must be true.
- 2 Then, for each case,  $C$ , in  $C_1, C_2, \dots$ ,
  - 1 Assume case  $C$ .
  - 2 Demonstrate that  $Q$  must follow from  $C$ .

# Example 2

## Example 2

In a cave you find three boxes. One contains gold, the other two are empty. Each box has imprinted on it a clue as to its contents; the clues are:



A: The gold is not here



B: The gold is not here



C: The gold is in box B

Only one message is true; the other two are false. Which box has the gold?

- Notice that I changed the question to “Which box has the gold?”. I could have left it as “Prove that the gold is in box A.” since, for this problem the two versions are equivalent.

## Example 2

In a proof by cases, there are three cases based on where the gold is located. In each case we check the truth value of the three messages<sup>†</sup>

### Gold is in box A

A: “ <i>The gold is not here</i> ”	<b>F</b>	} Exactly one message true? ✓
B: “ <i>The gold is not here</i> ”	<b>T</b>	
C: “ <i>The gold is in box B</i> ”	<b>F</b>	

### Gold is in box B

A: “ <i>The gold is not here</i> ”	<b>T</b>	} Exactly one message true? ✗
B: “ <i>The gold is not here</i> ”	<b>F</b>	
C: “ <i>The gold is in box B</i> ”	<b>T</b>	

### Gold is in box C

A: “ <i>The gold is not here</i> ”	<b>T</b>	} Exactly one message true? ✗
B: “ <i>The gold is not here</i> ”	<b>T</b>	
C: “ <i>The gold is in box B</i> ”	<b>F</b>	

So in order that exactly one message is true, the gold must be in box A.

<sup>†</sup>You might complain that in the direct proof we did earlier building a truth table is really a proof by cases. You would be correct.



# Example 3

## Example 3

Every group of 6 minions includes a group of 3 minions who all know each other or a group of 3 minions who are mutual strangers.



Call one of the minions Bob. There are five others. Either Bob knows three of them, or he does not know three of them.

*CASE 1: Bob knows three of the five others ...*

Say that Bob knows three of the five others. Of those five minions either there exists two minions who know each other or no two know each other.

*CASE 1.1: Within the three minions, there exists two who know each other ...*

Then those two and Bob form a mutually acquainted threesome.

*CASE 1.2: No two of the three minions know each other ...*

Then any three of the five minions are a mutually unacquainted threesome.

# Example 3

CASE 2: *Bob does not know three of the five others ...*

CASE 2.1: *No two of the three minions know each other ...*

Then those two and Bob form a mutually unacquainted threesome.

CASE 2.2: *All pairs within the three minions know each other ...*

Then any three of the five minions are a mutually acquainted threesome.

We have covered all possibilities, and in every instance come up either with a mutually acquainted threesome or a mutually unacquainted threesome.



# Examples

---

- a) Prove that for any integer  $n$ , the number  $(n^3 - n)$  is even.
- b) Prove that every prime number greater than 3 is either one more or one less than a multiple of 6. Hint. Prove the contrapositive by cases.
- c) Let  $a, b, c, d$  be integers. If  $a > c$  and  $b > c$ , then  $\max(a, b) - c$  is always positive.